



Liberty Fighters Network

Est. 2016 - A voluntary association without gain (*Universitas*)

Office of the President: Reyno De Beer

Landline: +27(0)12 023 1976 / Cellular: +27(0)78 174 5878

Electronic Mail: reyno@libertyfighters.co.za

Website: www.libertyfighters.co.za

Telegram: @libertyfightersnews / Twitter: @LFN_SouthAfrica / Facebook: Libertyfightersnetwork

Date: 13 August 2021

VERY URGENT

ATTENTION: JUSTICE ZONDO
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA
377 – 14th Road, Noordwyk, Midrand, 1685
[BY EMAIL]

ATTENTION: MR. DUNISANI MATHIBA
ACTING REGISTRAR OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT
Constitutional Hill, Braamfontein, Johannesburg
[BY EMAIL]

ATTENTION: STATE ATTORNEY PRETORIA
Attorneys for the 1st Respondent
TEL. 012- 309 1528
FAX. 086 406 6194
EMAIL: SZulu@justice.gov.za
REF: MR S ZULU/2020/Z76
[BY EMAIL]

ATTENTION: HOLA BON RENAISSANCE FOUNDATION

3rd Respondent

Email(1): info@hbrfoundation.org.za

Email(2): preddy.mothopeng@gmail.com

Email(3): hbrfoundation@gmail.com

[BY EMAIL]

Dear Justice Zondo ACJ,

RE: APPLICATION FOR RESCISSION OF COURT ORDER – DE BEER & ANOTHER v. MINISTER OF COGTA – CCT CASE NO. 72/2021; SCA CASE NO. 12/2021; HCGDP CASE NO. 21542/2020)

1. Writer refers to his above-mentioned Application for Rescission of Court Order which has been pending further directives since 31 May 2021, same being the date of lodgement of the Replying Affidavit.
2. It has now been 2 ½ (two-and-a-half) months that this very important matter has received absolutely zero attention from your chambers – 74 days to be absolutely precise. Writer submits that this Court, during this time period attended, to many other matters; notwithstanding that some of those matters were enrolled after this one.
3. To the reasonable observer it might thus appear as if this Court has become selective and biased in its choice of the arguments it wishes to hear. Writer is aware that the often cited phrase according to which "*justice must not only be done but must also be seen as being done*" (paraphrased) is something you yourself is well aware of. Unfortunately, with this very matter, neither seems to be the event; despite the importance of the arguments raised and the urgency flowing therefrom.

4. Writer kindly reminds you, Justice Zondo, that the Court belongs to the people of this country and that you, as well as all other members of the judiciary, are merely the stewards in your positions to serve the people as confirmed by the long forgotten *Freedom Charter*. What writer personally has experienced is, especially the past year of what appears to him to be the judiciary's assignment to push the COVID-19 narrative at any cost, that our courts have become extensions of government. In doing so, they not only lost their independence but also their authority.
5. Writer assures that in his opinion South Africa is not the place where previous proper judicial adjudication can or will be replaced by mass hysteria and fear, something which he himself had to experience recently when SCA Justices ridiculed LFN and writer as "COVID denialists" – after having permitted the government to not submit any proof of as to the existence of what was supposed to have been denied.
6. It is entirely unacceptable that an important matter like this one, with clear and uncontested merits, is being treated with what appears to be utmost disdain by this Court, while every South African is left with nothing but the mere memory of this Constitutional Court as the ultimate protector of our Constitution and the unalienable human rights enshrined in it, which each and every South African is supposed to be able to enjoy.
7. While writer is not in a position to demand from this Court to provide the outstanding directives by a specific date, writer is indeed entitled to inform this Court that if same directives are not received by next week Friday, 20 August 2021, that it will be accepted in good faith that the Constitutional Court has made the decision to not address the application under case number CCT 72/2021 before it and that, furthermore, it flouted its procedures by refusing to issue the directives, as the required next step in the proceeding. Writer is of the well-founded opinion that 81 (eighty-one) calendar days are more than

sufficient to formulate same directives, something which can and seemingly is being done by this very Court between noon and lunch time, so to speak.¹

8. Writer assures that the matter of the possible abuse of the entire citizenry of a country *via* a national state of disaster, which was in the very least, procedurally flawed from the outset, will then be escalated on the basis of having completed the full legal circuit open to South Africans; and that the matter will be argued on behalf of all South Africans before a most suited international forum instead.

Yours Faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Reyno D. De Beer', with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

Reyno D. De Beer

Applicant and Representative for 2nd Respondent

President: Liberty Fighters Network

¹Directions by Constitutional Court of South Africa; *In Re: Zuma vs The Commission et al* CCT 52/2021, issued 6 August 2021