



Date: 13 January 2022

Our reference: STOPVAC001

**TO: SOUTH AFRICA - MINISTER OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND TRAINING**  
**Attention**

: Dr. Blade Nzimande - Honourable Minister of Higher Education and Training

(Served by Email:- [esther.rammutla@dst.gov.za](mailto:esther.rammutla@dst.gov.za))

: Mr Buti Manamela (Honourable Deputy Minister of Higher Education and Training)

(Served by Email:- [Letuka.M@dhet.gov.za](mailto:Letuka.M@dhet.gov.za))

: Nkosinathi Sishi, Mr (Director General of Department of Higher Education and Training (Served by Email: [sishi.N@dhet.gov.za](mailto:sishi.N@dhet.gov.za) )

: Narend Baijnath, Prof (CEO at Council of Higher Education)

(Served by Email:- [CEO@che.ac.za](mailto:CEO@che.ac.za) )

: Dr Mafu Rakometsi CEO Umalusi (Served Email: [Mafu.Rakometsi@umalusi.org.za](mailto:Mafu.Rakometsi@umalusi.org.za))

**And TO: SOUTH AFRICA- MINISTER OF BASIC EDUCATION**

**Attention:** Mrs Angie Motshega (Honourable Minister of Basic Education)

(Served by Email:- [mabua.s@dbe.gov.za](mailto:mabua.s@dbe.gov.za) )

: Reginah Mhaule, Dr (Deputy Minister of Basic Education )

(Served by Email:- [Sattar.Z@dbe.gov.za](mailto:Sattar.Z@dbe.gov.za))

: Director-General: Mathanzima Hubert Mweli, Mr (DG of Basic Education)

(Served by Email:- [Mweli.M@dbe.gov.za](mailto:Mweli.M@dbe.gov.za) )

CC : Student Representative Council in all Institutions of Learning

(Served by Email:- Request the Ministry to forward)

CC: Honorable N N Mapisa-Nqakula (Speaker of Parliament -

(Served by Email:- [zngoma@parliament.gov.za](mailto:zngoma@parliament.gov.za))

Dear Sirs,

**IN RE: LETTER OF DEMAND: TO PUT AN IMMEDIATE MORATORIUM ON COVID19 VACCINATION IN EDUCATION ( PCR TEST RELIABILITY & COVID-19 VACCINATION OF SOUTH AFRICAN CHILDREN).**

1. . The above matter refers
2. We Hola Bon Renaissance Foundation act on behalf of affected student and/or learners and/or parents and/or teachers and/or employees and/or citizens and/or institution and/or the civil organizations and/or its members in terms of Section 3 and/or 10 and/or 11 and/or 18 and/or 20 and/or 28 and 29 of the Constitution of the Republic Of South Africa
3. We act for and on behalf of our client as indicated in the above Point 2 (herein after referred to as 'our client').
4. It is with critical concern that we write this letter to you, in your respective capacities as the Minister of Higher Education and Training , Deputy Minister of Higher Education and Training and Director General of the Minister of Higher Education and Training and Minister of Basic Education and Deputy Minister of Basic Education who have the sole duty to ensure that you look after the best interest of all South African in relation to education and as envisaged by the respective laws of the Republic read together with the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa.
5. Since the inception of the Covid-19 pandemic, it has become evident that any converse scientific and or medical view on how best to deal with the pandemic has either been sidelined and or ridiculed, and any scientist and or medical expert that avails himself or herself for a robust debate on the scientific and or medical issues in play are being vilified or ridiculed.
6. When science cannot be questioned and or interrogated, we are no longer talking about science at all but merely a figment of an imagination of science, dogmatic science at best. This is exactly the picture our client (dogmatic science) has of what is happening with the Covid-19 science and medical protocols that are currently implemented in South Africa through the auspices of SAHPRA.

7. It has come to our client attention that all the PCR-test approved by SAHPRA, that are being used in South Africa as the primary diagnostic tool to test for the SARS-CoV-2 virus is scientifically unreliable and untenable.
8. It is our client respective view that the RT-qPCR test cannot accurately and specifically identify the RNA sequences that comprise the genetic material of the virus, especially of a virus with frequently emerging sequence variants. The claim that RT-qPCR tests can distinguish between the original virus and novel variants, even if they differ by only a single nucleotide change is not evidence-based and beyond scientific realism.
9. The PCR-test is not suitable to be used as a diagnostic tool to test for the SARS-CoV-2 virus as it cannot even distinguish whether an individual has an active and or dead pathogen.
10. Our client calls upon SAHPRA, to denounce and retract the use of the PCR-test for SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic purposes with immediate effect, as it is the main cause hyping the Covid-19 statistics way beyond scientific realism. The Covid-19 statistics displayed in the media daily is scientifically flawed and it does not give a true scientific reflection of the SARS-CoV-2 virus trajectory in South Africa.
11. There is enough and robust evidence available now that those who have recovered from Covid 19 develop robust and long-lasting immunity against SARS CoV-2, even after mild or asymptomatic infections, and that chances of reinfection among these people, even from the emerging variants of the same virus, are extremely rare or non-existent. The WHO in its interim guidance released on July 2, 2021 has also recognised the fact of acquired immunity in all those who have had previous infection with SARS-CoV-2<sup>1</sup>. There is no evidence to show that those who have recovered from the infection will get any additional benefit from vaccination.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/1353961/retrieve>

12. With reference to Israel, one of the most Covid-19 vaccinated pioneer countries in the world, has shown that vaccinations and vaccines passports has no benefits, and that natural immunity is still the best<sup>2</sup>.
13. Without going into too greater detail our client is of the respective view that the Covid-19 vaccines currently deployed in South Africa (Pfizer and Johnson and Johnson as well as the others, and also the once that are being considered by SAHPRA for approval) is of no effect to curb the pandemic, in a matter of fact these vaccines will have adverse effects on those South Africans who has taken it and or who is about to take it.
14. It is common cause that all the Covid-19 vaccines approved by SAHPRA in terms of the Act was done for emergency use only and that all these vaccines are still in its experimental phases of research and that the earliest end of the research phase of some of the vaccines will only be in 2023.
15. Having regard that the vaccines are being used as an experimental intervention to curb the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, and taking cognisance that all experimental medication requires informed consent (Nuremberg Code), and that certain strict scientific protocols must be followed, it has become apparent that the Covid-19 vaccines currently used in South Africa fall short of the following pertinent studies:
- 15.1. **No studies** on secondary pharmacodynamics have been performed;
  - 15.2. **No** safety pharmacology investigations have been performed with Ad26.COV.2;
  - 15.3. **No studies** on pharmacodynamic drug interactions have been performed;
  - 15.4. **No studies** on genotoxicology have been performed;
  - 15.5. **No studies** on carcinogenesis have been performed; and

---

<sup>2</sup> <https://swprs.org/israel-highest-infection-rate-in-the-world/>

15.6. **No studies** on ecotoxicity /environment risk assessment have been performed.

16. It is also common-cause that the mRNA technology that are currently being used in all the Covid-19 vaccines has never been approved and or used in humans on the scale *en masse* in the past. In a matter of fact this technology has never even passed the animal studies and has on multiple occasions been refused to progress to human trials.

17. Even the inventor of the mRNA, Dr Robert Malone<sup>3</sup> on multiple occasions stated that the technology in its current form in the vaccines pose a grave danger due to the real risk of the vaccinated developing an antibody dependant enhancement that will lead to a hyperinflammatory response called a cytokine storm. This is a common problem with the Dengue Virus, Ebola Virus, HIV, RSV, and the family of coronaviruses Dr Robert Malone, has been very vocal that the vaccines are not conducive for children (in a matter of fact for all humans), and that it poses a real risk to them.

18. Our client find it incomprehensible that experimental vaccines of which there is no longitudinal research data are being rolled out in the country and that it will soon (if it has not been already) be injected in South Africa's most valuable assets- its next generation, children - birth to 17.9 (seventeen point nine) years.

19. It is our client's respective view that the Covid-19 vaccines are gene therapy products that is solely aimed to alter the biological properties of living cells for therapeutic purposes.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e6ynX2irCGA>

20. The SARS-CoV-2 virus does not pose any significant health risk to healthy children and thus there is no justification to permit innocent children to participate in an experiment that has dire consequence with the real potential of death, due to myocarditis and or any other adverse effect that has been reported to date globally.
21. Our client is of the view that as a result of the many unanswered questions as set out above, it is not prudent to expose any of our beautiful South African children, with their bright futures ahead of them to the mRNA vaccine technology as the risk benefit far outweighs the anticipated benefits.
22. The Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI)<sup>4</sup> (date of advice on or about 3 September 2021) opined and advised the United Kingdom Government that the margin of benefit is too small to justify the vaccination of children between the ages of 12-15 (twelve to fifteen) years old due to a lack of long-term data on potential adverse reactions.
23. We trust that a thorough, and well considered scientific risk benefit analysis has been done (although from the look of things it does not seem like it) on the advantages and disadvantages of the Covid-19 vaccines on children and that any action taken by SAHPRA and the Ministry of Health has a sound scientific basis especially having regard that the enrolment of the vaccines constitute an experiment *en masse* and that it has the real potential of having dire consequences for all in sundry.

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/jcvi-statement-september-2021-covid-19-vaccination-of-children-aged-12-to-15-years/jcvi-statement-on-covid-19-vaccination-of-children-aged-12-to-15-years-3-september-2021>

24. It is common cause that the Covid-19 vaccines program currently underway in South African and globally is a medical experiment that is conducted on the populace in the hope to stem the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.
25. The possession of the competence to make decisions is therefore one of the key requirements for informed consent in medical decision-making.
26. A competent decision-maker requires a consistent and stable set of values or a concept that is premised on sound truthful objective and robust medical and scientific information, with this *said 'Children are good at differentiating relative risks. But they are bad at distinguishing absolute risks'*
27. It is highly improbable that children under Covid-19 pandemic circumstances can fully comprehend the difficult medical scientific information and or data.
28. The North Gauteng High Court in the **One South Africa Movement and Another v President of the Republic of South Africa and Others**<sup>5</sup> essentially confirmed the scientific factual statements canvassed supra, by stating that *"the risk to children in this regard is low and that even if infected, children seldom present with serious illness"*.
29. It is important that the Ministry of Basic Education take cognisance of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa with specific reference to sections 10,11 and 12 of the Bill of Rights. Section 12 subsection 2 clearly states that “

---

<sup>5</sup> One South Africa Movement and Another v President of the Republic of South Africa and Others (24259/2020) (paragraph 177).

everyone has a right to bodily and psychological integrity, which includes amongst other rights; under (c) not to be subjected to medical or scientific experiments without their informed consent.

30. The approval of the Covid-19 vaccines for children are irrational and irresponsible and our client is of the respective view that the approval must be retracted immediately and if not our client will not hesitate to seek the necessary legal redress through legal proceedings in Court.

31. It is our client's view that the Minister of Higher Education and Training , Deputy Minister of Higher Education and Training and Director General of the Minister of Higher Education and Training and Minister of Basic Education and Deputy Minister of Basic Education must be held liable in their representative and or personal and or professional capacities for any and or all the adverse effects suffered by and or any of the vaccinated children in South Africa.

32. One child's life lost (acute and or chronic adverse effect/s) to this experimental COVID-19 vaccines are one too many.

33. In the light of the above our client has instructed us to demand the Minister of Higher Education and Training , Deputy Minister of Higher Education and Training and Director General of the Minister of Higher Education and Training and Minister of Basic Education and Deputy Minister of Basic Education to immediately instruct its employees, Schools, Universities and Collages and any other body it is responsible for to stop and/or put an moratorium on the vaccination drive with immediate effect in all the learning institutions in South Africa.



34. Furthermore we would like to bring to the attention of the above mentioned that Hola Bon Renaissance Foundation has lodged a court application with the Constitutional Court Case CCT No:392/2021, which is based in stopping child vaccination,
35. With the Above information brought to your attention, we hereby caution you that the outcome of the court case has a serious implication on your decision
36. We therefore demand the above matter be set aside and be put on moratorium within 72 hours (Seventy two hours ) from the date of transmission hereof, failing which we shall institute legal proceedings against the Minister of Higher Education and Training , Deputy Minister of Higher Education and Training and Director General of the Minister of Higher Education and Training and Minister of Basic Education and Deputy Minister of Basic Education including recovering the costs that we would have occasioned as a result of Parliament failure to comply with the terms of its obligation and that of the letter of demand
37. Note that point 36 is subject to the Minister of Higher Education and Training , Deputy Minister of Higher Education and Training and Director General of the Minister of Higher Education and Training and Minister of Basic Education and Deputy Minister of Basic Education adhering to our request, and furthermore should either of you decided to proceed during and/or after the above stipulated period, such action will give us no other alternative but to approach the court on urgent basis

38. Furthermore should you proceed be informed that you will be in serious breach and violation of the constitution in particular of Section 3 (three) and/or 10 (ten) and/or 11 (eleven) and/or 18 (eighteen ) and/or 20 (twenty ) and/or 28 (twenty eight) and 29 (twenty nine) of the Constitution of the Republic Of South Africa.

39. Kindly acknowledge receipt hereof.

40. We are looking forward to your urgent reply to the above.

Yours Faithfully



Bontshitswe Preddy Mothopeng Msieleng

Chairperson of Hola Bon Renaissance (HBR) Foundation

Tel No: 0737853550 /0681596956

Cell No:0840544733

Email: [hbrfoundation@gmail.com](mailto:hbrfoundation@gmail.com) or [info@hbrfoundation.org.za](mailto:info@hbrfoundation.org.za)

[www.hbrfoundation.org.za](http://www.hbrfoundation.org.za)